

a  
(unbacked half-title)

METHOD IN THEOLOGY ) 12/13 CAPS 4/SP



b  
(title-page)

BERNARD J.F. LOMERGAN, S.J. ) 18 PT

METHOD  
IN  
EPIGEOLOGY

) 30 PT CASTELLAR  
CAPS 4/3P

Darton, Longman & Todd  
London

) 12/13

*Chanic*  
✓

c  
(verso)

First published in Great Britain in 1972 by  
Darton, Longman & Todd Limited  
85 Gloucester Road, London SW7 4SU  
c 1971 Bernard J.F. Lonergan, S.J.

ISBN 0 232 51139 X

Printed in Great Britain at  
the Pitman Press, Bath

11 PT  
BEMBO



CONTENTS

PART I: INTRODUCTION BEING ITALIC CAPS  
CENTRED

12/13

Preface

Introduction

PART ONE: BACKGROUND

PART BEING ITALIC CAPS  
CENTRED

I.


Method

- 1. A Preliminary Notion
- 2. The Basic Pattern of Operations
- 3. Transcendental Method
- 4. The Functions of Transcendental Method

II.

The Human Good

- 1. Skills
- 2. Feelings
- 3. The Notion of Value
- 4. Judgments of Value
- 5. Beliefs
- 6. The Structure of the Human Good
- 7. Progress and Decline



3 III.     Meaning

1. Intersubjectivity
2. Intersubjective Meaning
3. Art
4. Symbols
5. Linguistic Meaning
6. Incarnate Meaning
7. Elements of Meaning
8. Functions of Meaning
9. Realms of Meaning
10. Stages of Meaning

4 IV.     Religion

1. The Question of God
2. Self-Transcendence
3. Religious Experience
4. Expressions of Religious Experience
5. Religious Development Dialectical
6. The Word
7. Faith
8. Religious Belief

5 V.     Functional Specialties

1. Three Types of Specialization
2. An Eightfold Division
3. Grounds of the Division
4. The Need for the Division
5. A Dynamic Unity

PART TWO: FOREGROUND

1 of 2000 17911 2011  
0011110


~~VI.~~ Research~~VII.~~ Interpretation

1. Basic Exegetical Operations
2. Understanding the Object
3. Understanding the Words
4. Understanding the Author
5. Understanding Oneself
6. Judging the Correctness of an Interpretation
7. A Clarification
8. Stating the Meaning of a Text

~~VIII.~~ History

1. Nature and History
2. Historical Experience and Historical Knowledge
3. Critical History


~~IX.~~ History and Historians

1. Three Handbooks
  2. Data and Facts
  3. Three Historians
  4. Verstehen
  5. Perspectivism
  6. Horizons
  7. Heuristic Structures
  8. Science and Scholarship
- 

~~10~~ X. Dialectic

1. Horizons
2. Conversions and Breakdowns
3. Dialectic: The Issue
4. Dialectic: The Problem
5. Dialectic: The Structure
6. Dialectic as Method
7. The Dialectic of Methods: Part One
8. The Dialectic of Methods: Part Two
9. The Dialectic of Methods: Part Three
10. A Supplementary Note

~~11~~ XI. Foundations

1. Foundational Reality
  2. The Sufficiency of the Foundational Reality
  3. Pluralism in Expression
  4. Pluralism in Theology
  5. Categories
  6. General Theological Categories
  7. Special Theological Categories
  8. Use of the Categories
- 

~~12~~ XII. Doctrines

- 1. Varieties
- 2. Functions
- 3. Variations
- 4. Differentiations of Consciousness
- 5. The Ongoing Discovery of Mind: Part One
- 6. Ongoing Contexts
- 7. The Ongoing Discovery of the Mind: Part Two
- 8. The Development of Doctrines
- 9. The Permanence of Dogmas
- 10. The Historicity of Dogmas
- 11. Pluralism and the Unity of Faith
- 12. The Autonomy of Theology

~~13~~ XIII. Systematics

- 1. The Function of Systematics
- 2. Closed Options
- 3. Mystery and Problem
- 4. Understanding and Truth
- 5. Continuity, Development, Revision

~~14~~ XIV. Communications

- 1. Meaning and Ontology
- 2. Common Meaning and Ontology
- 3. Society, State, Church
- 4. The Christian Church and its Contemporary Situation
- 5. The Church and the Churches



54  
the  
cha

PREFACE

12 of Bernard Lonergan's ITALIC CASE CENTER

← This book has long been in the making. The development of my investigation up to 1965 has been studied by David Tracy in his Achievement of Bernard Lonergan. In that year for reasons of health I retired from the Gregorian University and, since then, I have enjoyed the hospitality of Regis College which has met all my needs and left me free to think and write without asking any service in return. To Regis College, then, and to the good fellowship of its staff and students during the past six years my profound gratitude.<sup>1</sup>

Bernard Lonergan

March 24, 1971  
Regis College  
Willowdale, Ontario  
Canada

12/13




Footnote to page 1 (first of two)

9/11

supp's  
this  
chapter

1) Inevitably my lectures and papers in recent years echo the contents of this book. But explicit mention should perhaps be made ~~of~~ of the following. Chapter five has appeared in Gregorianum 50 (1969), # 485<sup>9</sup> - 505. Chapter twelve contributed much to Doctrinal Pluralism, the Père Marquette Theology Lecture for 1971, published by the Marquette University Press. Chapter seven is due to appear in the first issue of a new review, Cultural Hermeneutics, published at Boston College probably in the fall of 1971. Chapters four and twelve were drawn on for my part in a symposium held at Villanova University, June 14<sup>9</sup> - 19, 1971. The symposium will be edited by Joseph Papin with the title: The Pilgrim People.



N.B. analyze is  
'z' spelling American style

INTRODUCTION

← A theology mediates between a cultural matrix and the significance and role of a religion in that matrix. The classicist notion of culture was normative: at least de jure there was but one culture that was both universal and permanent; to its norms and ideals might aspire the uncultured, whether they were the young or the people or the natives or the barbarians. Besides the classicist, there also is the empirical notion of culture. It is the set of meanings and values that informs a way of life. It may remain unchanged for ages. It may be in process of slow development or rapid dissolution. 12/13

When the classicist notion of culture prevails, theology is conceived as a permanent achievement, and then one discourses on its nature. When culture is conceived empirically, theology is known to be an ongoing process, and then one writes on its method.

Method is not a set of rules to be followed meticulously by a dolt. It is a framework for collaborative creativity. It would outline the various clusters of operations to be performed by theologians when they go about their various tasks. A contemporary method would conceive those tasks in the context of modern science, modern scholarship, modern philosophy, of historicity, collective practicality and coresponsibility.

In such a contemporary theology we envisage eight distinct tasks: research, interpretation, history, dialectic,

foundations, doctrines, systematics, and communications. How each of these tasks is to be performed, is treated now in greater and now in less detail in the nine chapters that form the second part of this work. In the first part are treated more general topics that have to be presupposed in the second part. Such are method, the human good, meaning, religion, and functional specialities. Of these, the last, functional specialities, explains how we arrived at our list of eight distinct tasks.

In general, what we shall have to say, is to be taken as a model. By a model is not meant something to be copied or imitated. By a model is not meant a description of reality or an hypothesis about reality. It is simply an intelligible, interlocking set of terms and relations that it may be well to have about when it comes to describing reality or to forming hypotheses. As the proverb, so the model is something worth keeping in mind when one confronts a situation or tackles a job.

However, I do not think I am offering merely models. On the contrary, I hope readers will find more than mere models in what I shall say. But it is up to them to find it. For the first chapter on method sets forth what they can discover in themselves as the dynamic structure of their own cognitional and moral being. In so far as they find that, they also will find something that is not open to radical revision. For that dynamic structure is the condition of the possibility of any revision. Moreover, subsequent chapters are in the main prolongations of the first. They presuppose it. They complement it, indeed, but they do so by drawing attention to further

aspects or fuller implications or added applications. However, just as each one has to find in himself the dynamic structure indicated in the first chapter, so too he has to satisfy himself about the validity of the further additions in the subsequent chapters. As already I have said, method offers not rules to be followed blindly but a framework for creativity.

If I hope many readers will find in themselves the dynamic structure of which I write, others perhaps will not. Let me beg them not to be scandalized because I quote scripture, the ecumenical councils, papal encyclicals, other theologians so rarely and sparingly. I am writing not theology but method in theology. I am concerned not with the objects that theologians expound but with the operations that theologians perform.

The method I indicate is, I think, relevant to more than Roman Catholic theologians. But I must leave it to members of other communions to decide upon the extent to which they may employ the present method.

