

Eugen Bielefeld

Deictical theory

1 Upphinsien is not understanding the words
not understood by words

the first reading is not an exegetical problem

text, dictionary, grammar, analysis of composition, notes
mode of composition (syntax) historical
historical
historical

2 the first reading is mistaken

proximate sources of meaning area meaning imagination
cs intelligence
cs judgement

my Fragestellung

to Fragestellung

usage

level of thought

type of language

of author

self-correcting process of learning

Hebrews postulates: as Deut in NT

from fourth century: common name
in O.T.: proper name

Hebrews:
- operation
- word act

3 process of correction involves three elements

a what comes out of the text itself: Rom 1³ Act 13³³

b what pertains to a general history development of language
general culture
development of understanding
concepts

c epistemological & linguistic theory

mutual dependence

in each case of first Kleinwiesend

in all cases provides context

is indication of possibility, of non-existence of β

Biblical Theology

1° Higher Criticism → Reading - not independent technique
 → Blackman - interpretation as any other ^{literary} document _{historical}

Dodd / Feeley | self-correcting process of Reading

It occurs within horizon: e.g. Macquarrie "Myth"
of mythology is necessary

cannot be dispensed with: else no self-correcting process
 cannot be relied on: perfect openness is state of faith

2° immanent intelligibility e.g. Exodus 17

3° There is an interlocking in the text itself
 an accumulation of insights (e.g. type) | that arises out of text
 that corrects initial fragmenting
 that leads towards hist. fragmenting
 that gives fragmenting answers

4° There is a higher interlocking between distinct texts

continues appropriation integrated
 of Reverend | Messianic Kingdom / Eschatology of Restoration
 Son of Man transcendent universal
 Son of Yehovah

Abelard
concordance
transformation

5° the higher the interlocking the greater the dependence on Verstehen
 = intentional to reconstruction to understand as completion

for the higher interlocking Catholic presents divinely guaranteed tradition
 adds argument ent. sc. exp.

4 is due to be studied on any other document : Dittmann, de amps
: Peru

There is nothing in doc that is not to be found in any other document

the study of doc does not demand ^{in the eyes of} openness
② openness in the author : equatorial plus (rotation)
① in the present work with openness

there can be no a priori with regard to results

f. Scriptum is to be studied on any the document

Balthasar de Camps

Peirador

d. it is a matter of the self-correcting process of learning

which does not retain results immediately

no infallibility in any sense