Christ as ...

0

Θ

0

(9) To conclude, Fr. Perego considers my position to be <u>assai originale²⁹</u> and again <u>ingegnosa</u>, nuova et personale.³⁰

I think one should be more discriminating. No doubt, what I have to say is so new to Fr. Perego that he neither understands it nor even presents it accurately. At the same time, it is to be noted that a **similar** notion of consciousness, similar to my own,³¹ is incidentally introduced in a current article by Georges Van Riet, the well-known author of <u>L'Épistémologie thomiste</u>; and I should be astounded if any competent philosopher found his notion original or ingenious or new or personal. Indeed, I am not even the first theologian to have attempted to use the words, subject, consciousness, correctly in discussing the consciousness of Christ; Fr. Joseph Ternus,³² at least, preceded me.

0

14

0

0

C

(9) Finally, Fr. Perego considers my view <u>assai originale</u>,²⁹ <u>ingegnosa, nuova, personale</u>.³⁰

I would note that Georges Van Riet, the well-known author of <u>L'épistémologie thomiste</u>, employs a similar notion of consciousness in a current article attacking M. Henry Duméry.³¹ I should be very much surprised if the readers of the article found that notion of consciousness either original or ingenious or new or personal. Indeed, if I may be permitted a **boxe** brief reflection, is it not lamentable that, what can be taken for granted in competent philosophic circles, not only is considered <u>unadmissable byxaximesiagian</u> but not even is understood by a theologian that has undertaken to settle the exact nature of the consciousness of Christ?

0

14

Christ as Subject : A: Reply

A few years ago I put together for my class some notes on the hypostatic union and on the consciousness of Christ.¹ Somehow they happen to have come to the attention of the Reverend A. Perego, and he has regaled the readers of <u>Divinitas</u> with an exposition and a criticism of my views.²

The exposition misrepresents my theological position, and it ignores the theological argument that I present at length against such a view of consciousness (<u>conscientia</u>-<u>perceptio</u>) as is held by Fr. Perego.

0

0

O

0