

- (1) Expressions generally to develop positions  
Illustrations in particular quid sit to reverse counterpositions

We add a few further reflections.

- (2) What is presupposed
- (a) particular historical investigations
    - (a) investigationes his. Particulars
      - max interconnection
      - (1) interpretation of individual authors per se ipsos  
where there are several
      - (2) interpretatio of several similar  
per se ipsos where individuals say little
    - (b) or every difference regarding this particular investigation is of the same moment  
e.g. weight → another chemical species  
not another animal of human species
  - e.g., whether Judaeo-Christians, Gnostics – symbolic
    - Tert, Hypol – naive realists
    - Origines, Eusebius – Platonists
    - Arian, Athanasius – categories – Christian creator, creature
    - Est, est Non, non

[page 2]

- (3) What is presupposed in general
- (a) what has to do with human beings
  - (b) that general methodology
    - can distinguish between different levels of consciousness and different philosophic orientations and can acknowledge them if they are manifest enough
- (4) What questions is it apt to solve?
- (a) more general questions
    - (a') whether there is the same doctrine in the NT and the council of Nicea  
or a transition from a biblical religious type to another philosophic and Hellenistic type
      - what is homoousion: of the same stuff  
of the same essential attributes
    - (b') were the first Christian theologies Gnostic  
arobolē  
homoousia
  - (b) questions whose solution does not depend on the subjectivity of the one solving them  
Indeed there are two aspects: counterpositions in sources  
counterpositions in those investigating

e.g., modernism [Hans Jonas]

(5) To what method it is opposed

Method of sources: from material likeness  
to continuity of doctrine

Where more useful regarding particular investigator  
also there infinite regress is not given  
an author is to be understood in himself

In most

it conceals the subjectivity of the investigator  
under the guise of a purely positive investigation  
it fails as from material likeness  
it concludes to formal