



10. There are two ways of arriving at a defence of the objectivity of human knowledge

1) explicitly acknowledge the existence of the critical problem and solve it: Insight cc. 9-13

2) dodge the problem by asserting that unless one admitted the objectivity of sensible data, one would necessarily be involved in universal scepticism

on 1) through data, insight, and reflective understanding one arrives at a virtually unconditioned

on 2) sense knows reality, and our judgments are true because they report what is given to sense

11. Does the latter make sense?

It does for those who distinguish between the sensible and the affirmable: the sensible is the set of data that leads to direct and reflective understanding and so to ~~being~~ the affirmable which is being and reality

It does not for those who base our knowledge of reality on the validity of their sensations, and have never faced the fact of optical and other illusions.