LONERGAN WORKSHOP

Beston College

June 20 - 24, 1977

Dialogue for Discussion

June 21, 1977

1. In what way are the goals of mathematics and art related?

- 2. Nould you comment on the relation between the empirical residue in myth and in art?
- 3. Would you comment on the construction of metaphor in relation to abstraction from phantasm and the underlying rhythms of nature and psyche?
- 4. What role does art play in the orientation of subjects towards conversions?
- 5. In <u>Method</u> you stress theology's task of recovering four centuries of differentiations of consciousness, and in "Theology in It's New Context," you refer explicitly to the period around 1680. Would you comment further on this task?
- 6. In what way does Praxis involve emergent probability?
- 7. In what way(s) are the operations of the lower and higher "quasi-operators" within emergent probability? Are their operations beyond the immanent intelligibility of the universe?
- 8. What kind of stand should political theology take on economic theory and practice?
- 9. During the five years ending in 1975, corporations retained a total of 180 billion dollars of undistributed profits (after taxes and dividends) and during those same five years, the net corporate debt increased 509 billion dollars. Eould you comment critically on both the profits and the debt from the perspective of your own views on pure surplus income and the problems of debt-servicing?
- 10. Present economic theory requires a percentage of unemployment for the avoidance of inflation. Would you comment on this, on government's responsibility in the area of employment, and more generally on the extent of government's involvement in the economy?

0

11. What are the economic, or other, grounds for the exclusion of union and corporate monopolies?

0

С

LONERGAN WORKSHOP

Beston College

June 20 - 24, 1977

Dislogue for Discussion

June 21, 1977

- 1. In what way are the goals of mathematics and art related?
- 2. Nould you comment on the relation between the empirical residue in myth and in art?
- 3. Would you comment on the construction of metaphor in relation to abstraction from phantasm and the underlying whythms of nature and psyche?
- 4. What role does art play in the orientation of subjects towards conversions?
- 5. In <u>Method</u> you stress theology's task of recovering four centuries of differentiations of consciousness, and in "Theology in It"s New Context," you refer explicitly to the period around 1680. Mould you comment further on this task?
- 6. In what way does Praxis involve emergent probability?

O

0

С

- 7. In what wey(s) are the operations of the lower and higher "quesi-operators" within emergent probability? Are their operations beyond the immanent intelligibility of the universe?
- 8. What kind of stand should political theology take on economic theory and practice?
- 9. Muring the five years ending in 1975, corporations retained a total of 180 billion dollars of undistributed profits (after taxes and dividends) and during those same five years, the net corporate debt increased 509 billion dollars. Would you comment critically on both the profits and the debt from the perspective of your own views on pure surplus income and the problems of debt-servicing?
- 10. Present economic theory requires a percentage of unemployment for the avoidance of inflation. Would you comment on this, on government's responsibility in the area of employment, and more generally on the extent of government's involvement in the economy?
- 11. What are the economic, or other, grounds for the exclusion of union and corporate monopolies?

PERMAPS THE GENERAL RUBRIC FOR QUESTIONS 8-11 (+6?) "INTRO" TO THE BE SOME KIND General (ours BF ECONOMIC QUESTION FOR UNWASHED. าร

С

1. Mathematics may be regarded as the exploration of all possible scientific theories: e.g.,

analytic geometry and calculus made Newtonian theory possible riemannian geometry made Einsteinin relativity possible lattices made Heisenberg's formulation of Q T possible Similarly, the work of artists may be regarded as the exploration of man in his world:

of differences of the worlds in which men might live

of differences of the men living in the worlds

where "world" means what is mediated by meaning and motivated by value, what man makes of the world given by nature, and where men are constituted by the meanings that inform and the values that motivate their lives.

Further, in both the constructions of mathematicians and the constructions of artists there is a basic freedom (for both explore not actuality but possibility) but also a controling criterion. This criterion is esthetic. It is the clarity, simplicity, elegance, comprehensiveness of a mathematical treatise that delights the mathematical mind. Similarly, in each of the arts there is a certain tatonnement (slow or rapid) that gets things right.

R. G. Collingwood, <u>The Principles of Art</u>, OUP, 1938, 1958, this reprint 1972. Pb.

2. The empirical residue in mathematics is the material which the matematician distinguishes, orders, constructs, relates. E g sets, groups,

Mathematics is content to deal with this empirical residue in defined relations

Art is never content with the empirical residue as its material: it wants colors, sounds, shapes, feelijgs, presence

Suzanne Langer, Feeling and Form

С

3. Abstraction from phantasm is consequent to insight into phantasm.

To talk about abstraction and to forget insight has been the mark of decadent scholasticism since the beginning of the fourteenth century. Alternatively it has been the aftermath of the Augustinian-Aristotelian controversy at the close of the thirteenth century with a succession of books with titles like Correctorium fratris Thomae, Suxantant

0

1

Correctorium corruptorii fratris Thomae, etc etc.

Now it is insight that explains metaphor, for insight is constructive, the source of new initiatives, while speech also is constructive and metaphor when fresh and live is a new initiative in speech

George Steiner, <u>After Babel</u>, OUP 1975, Pb 1976, is a long and rich reflection on the multiplicity of languages and the possibility of translation from one language to another.

He inclines to explaining the multiplicity of languages by supposing that really we all make up our own **ixegenge** sentences out of the available materials, that the understanding each of has of what others say is approximate, that metaphor and other devices are discoveries of new uses for old words, what Horace in the Ars poetica called a <u>callida iunctura</u>, a witty or bright or smart commbination.

4. In so far as art is correctly conceived as an exploration of possible worlds in which men might live and of possible ways in which men might live and do and make,

it also reveals the possmibilities of pulling out of established routines and starting afresh in a new life-style.

The basic problem arises from the destruction of the 5. abcievement of the 12th and 13th centuries. Then theology had a method. In its endless questions it was devoted to the logical ideal of clarity in its terms, coherence in its propositions, rigor in its proofs or else explcit acknowledgement that its arguments were only probable. But method is more than being logical, for it guides ongoing inquiry and investigation. Now in the earlier period there was the recovery of RANKE sources, their distribution, translation, the study of them facilitated by commentaries, the results of commentaries collected and collated in books of sentences. The logical ideal of clarity coherence and rigor was applied to this amorphous traditional mass in the technique of **q** the quaestio: videtur quod non, sed contra est, respondeo dicendum, ad 1m 2m 3m ... The strategic move was taken towards securing a coherent Begrifflichkeit, so that the many solutions would themselves be coherent and not merely more problems, when Albert the Great and Aquinas adopted and adapted Aristotle to Xtian needs.

In this early period in which ongoingn research was comined with logical reflection and clarification, there was perpetual

Θ

us/

Ο

С

27

С

advance: take Aquinas commentary on Peter Lombards four books of sentences and you regularly will find that the questions Thomas discusses come out of the Lombards statements only at a great distance, the distance traversed in the intervening century of theological development.

However, the introduction of Aristotle did lead to the Augustinian-Aristotelian contronversy, partly because not all Aristotelians were as faithful to Christ and his church as was Aquinas, partly because what may be called something like group rivalry reinforced the conviction that the doctrines of the **x** pagan philosopher could not be combined with the doctrines of the medieval church.

The upshot of the intense and drawn out controversy was that theology lost its concern for research, that it **EXERCENTIANXXXX** lost the balance of method which combines ongoing research with logical clarification, that it overemphasized logic and indeed the brilliant but mistaken view of science found in Aristotle's Posterior Analytics. Chrsitian truth certainly is not a matter of necessary truths in which predicates pertain to subjects universally, necessarily, and eternally. But into that trap theology feel and the result was first skepticism and then decadence.

The first element in a recovery is the discovery that besides intelligibilities that are necessities there also are intelligibilities that are not necessities but only verified possibilities. Modern science **andxexecterexetterexticex** deals with verifiable possibilities, and modern mathematics probably deals with theoretic possibilities under aesthetic control. Pius XII on polygenism: not demonstrated.

The second element in a recovery is the discovery of the quite distinct procedures of hermenxeutics and history.

A third element is the disacoverry of a technique for introducing something like methodical control over judgments of value. Theology cannot be value-free.

A fourth element is something prior to metaphaysis, something prior to epistemology, namely a cognitional theory that embraces in a single differentiated view such diverse procedures as those of common sense, of mathematics, of natural science, of hermeneutics and history, of value judgments.

A fifth elegiment is working out an acceptable method of theology and so beginning again where the thirteenth cent left off.

0

3

22

 \odot

C

The period around 1680 is significant as the time when theology settled down into its post-Tridentive fortress mentality. It stressed centainty, doctrine, clarity. It replaced questions by theses and the theses were to be expounded and m defended. It has been a casualty of Vatican II. 6. Plaxis immut does not so much involve emergent probability

Emergent probability is a world veiw that results when the course of affairs is settled not only by natural laws but also by probabilities. It embraces the genesis of chemical elements, their distribution, their combinations; it embraces the evolution of plant and animal species; it provides the basis and background **HEXWEXEN** from which man emerges and in which he operates.

as be involved in emergent probability.

But his operation is not just a matter of natural law but also of intelligent originality. Man does not wait for significant constellations to emerge but he figukies them out and brings them about. Human history deflects the course and hastens the pace of emergent probability. And this history itself is a product of the probabilities that have emerged probabilities about insights, discoveries, cooperations, etc.

7. Emergent probability is a combination of natural laws, probabilities, and human initiatives. Operators and quasioperators pertain to the hierarchic structure of many-mleveled e bings.

8. The basic problem is the derailmment of humanity m initiated by realistic theories of state and societiy.

They asked what is the use of moral precepts that are very beautifical but ineffective.

They went on to procedures that they felt would be effective: the procedures of Machiavelli, the theories of Hobbes and Locke, etc.; within this crop comes modern economics which fundamentally is searches for a technique that will control economic operations beneficently.

The opposite procedure is to analyse the matrix of economic relationships and derive **xh** from the analysis moral precepts that dictate the economc conduct, praxis, necessary for the proper functioning of the economy.

The basic problem will be educational. There will have

О

to be formed a public opinion that conforms to the elementary requirements of economic p ublic health and, what is more difficult, a public spirit that is willing to conform. 9/10/11 Perhaps the general rubric here would be some kind of introduction to the economic question.

Science m begimns to get round the corner from mere endless discription (old style botany) to explanation d (Mendeleev's periodic table) when it introuces its own basic concepts.

A first requirement is to get rid of a juridicanl notion of capital interms of property and replace it by a macroeconomic functional notion, namely, goods and services that NEXXE promote maintmenance improvement and growth.

A second requirment is to get rid of a book-keeper(s make notion of profit and replace it by a make macroen!!! functional notion, Namely, the part of total current income that results from the sale of goods and services that promote maintenance, improvment, and growth.

There follows a simple theorem: total current income is the sum of current income from the sale fo goods and services that promote the community's standard of living and the current income from the sale of goods and services that promote maintenance imporvement and growth.

Cross-ever but each department in the economy can **g** keep going at the present rate on the basis of current income. Hence there is a part of total income that need not be spent on standard living, that cannot be spent on stantdard of living without causing a rise of prices, and this quantity is profit in macroeconomic functional sense.

Maximizing this type of profit is a matter of maximizing goods and services that promote

0

Maximising this rate of profit Profit as motive and profit as criterion U

5

О