The talk last night was an invitation to discover, advert to, attend to, one's own intelligence

Invitation: one cannot be forced to discover, to understand

one's own: not a universal proposition, all men are intellige not a comparison with animals, are chimpanzees int just a private fact

intelligence: in its immediacy, its givenness not in its external manifestations whence deduction not by analogy from sense

Our purpose this evening is repeat the same operation but on a broader front, with a fuller objective what we wish to disoever attend to is ourselves, each for himself

First question is what do we do when we discover outselves

Answer depends on what one thinks knowing is

If knowing is looking, then discovering oneself is taking a good look at oneself, see what's there to be seen, and do not project into what's there what is not there.

But one may perhaps not agree that knowing is looking; one may hold that numan knowing is composite

just as prime matter is rot a thing, material substantial form is not a think, the act of existence is rot a thing, but all three together constitute the material thing

so also one may fancy that experience is not human knowing, understanding isnot human knowing, judging is not human knowing, but only the combination of all three with respect to a single object constitutes human knowing

Seeing, without a glimmer of understanding, may be perfect as ocular vision; but it is not human knowing but stupid gaping

Understanding without judgement may be brilliant, but it is just a matter of bright ideas: to distinguish fact and fiction, reality and appearance, certitude and probability, myth and philos, astrology and astronomy, alchemy and chemistry, one ms to judge

Judgement without understanding is not human knowing but human arrogance; and judging without any experience is not human knowing but disregard of fact.

Now if one thinks of human knowing as a compound, as a structure, as a whole whose parts are functionally related to one another.

then self-discovery has to be a reduplication of the structure

it is not just looking at the looker it is experiencing understanding and af irming with respect to the one that is experiencing understanding and affirming

Last night: experiencing understanding and affirming insight tonight: experiencing understanding affirm a periencing understanding affirm

O

4 What is to experence oneself?

Ordinarily one thinks of experiencing objects: the hot & cold hard and soft, rough and smooth, wet and dry, white and black, green blue and red, loud and low, sweet and bitter and sour but to experience oneself is to experience not any object but the subject

presence: statue in countyeard spectacle to spectator spectator present to himself

anless present to Limself, not ing present to hi present to himself not by slipping into parade but in ax different dimension of presence without any distraction xexakjack from object he's all there, totally intent on object but someone present to himself is intent

not introspection but the possibility of introspection presence to self constitutive of subject introspection presupposes that presence and adverts to it

what is present is not substance but subject

I am a substance whether awake or asleep, conscious or uncoto be a subject I must at least be dreaming

hen unconscious, actually a substance, potentially a subject conscious, actually substance and subject only as subject, do I do a ything properly human, save or damn my soul

5 Experiencing oneself is not undifferentiated, homogeneous

self as dreamer,
as awake
as intelligent
as reasonable
as free and responsible

6 Inevitabilities of the subject

not a set of necessary propositions: contrary inconceiva but becoming maware of the way I happen to be

not the existing of a stone but the luminous existing of emp int rat resp cons subje

not this luminous existing as object of intro ins aff talk but this luminous existing as prior to & independ of ""

I must have some sleep; I may want to sleep 8 9 10 hrs a day; but I do not want to sleep all the time at least I want to wake up and eat

when I am awake, I cannot avoid empirical consciousness sensible objects constantly impinge upon me; and their presence is correlative to my presence to myself

when I experience and am empirically conscious, I want to understanding; I am not too bright, but I am not utterly stupid

- if I play the block-head, at least I want to do it intelli

 I cannot renounce my intelligence -- I can minimize it. I can deprecate it, I can insist that there are many other things in the world more important than intelligence, but in say ng so I do not mean that I am being stupid but that I am being intelligent in saying so

when I experience and understand, I want to be reasonable -8 theatre: suspension of disbelief

mythic consciousness: experiences and understands and is exalted or terrified - slifts easily from one of other

I do not want to be a nut

I am be nature, by spirit, rational

I distinguish and insist on distinguishing what can be, what may be, what must be, what in fact is so possible, more or less probable, certain

my rationality demands evide ce before I judge

demands judgement when I have sufficient evidence

when I judge, I determine not only what is true and false but

also what is right and wrong, good and evil I can choose what is good and right, I can also choose what is evil and wrong - I am free but my freedom is also my responsibility

nor is my responsibility limited to objects of choice I also am responsible for what I make of myself by my choices

besides my opaque self that is substance there is my luminous self that is conscious conscious empirically intelligently rationally responsibly but that is just the way I happen to be, the way I am built, the way I cannot help being

there also is the self that one makes of oneself it may be authentic, genuine or unauthentic, not genuine child - let me do it growing up - more and more doing for oneself, deciding for oneself - finding out for oneself

crisis * finding out for oneself that one has to decide for oneself what one is to do with oneself

the drifter has not found himself - he has no deed that is his own, no decision that is his own, no discovery that is his own

he does what others do, says what they say, decides what the decide, thinks what they think -- and so do they

and if one is not a drifter, if one has become genuinely

onself, still that achievement is precarious

today's resolutions do not predetermine the free choices of tomorrow, of next week - month - year - ten years from now

O

- 7 I have been speaking about experiencing oneself, but imperceptibly the question has moved on to understanding onself
 - I am, I cannot avoid being, an empirically intelligently rationally responibly conscious subject
 - but is that right? might it not just be an insight to be complemented qualified corrected by further insights
 - certainly it is not all that each of us can and does know about himself - I have been revealing no secrets, none of my own - none of yours
 - there is then room, plenty of room, for fuller knowledge of oneself
 - but the important question is whether it will be merely fuller knowledge or else it will involve a radical revision of the account that we have given
 - is it possible by revision to arrive x at oneself as not an empirically intelligently rationally conscious subject
 - to this I think the answer must, e, No for a possible revision will appeal to more fuller different data the fuller data will ground a different understanding
 - the fuller data will ground a different understanding the correctness of the different understanding will yield a different judgement

but to have fuller dataon oneself supposes empirically cons s
to have a diffrent understanding of oneself supposes intell c s
to make a different judgement about oneself supposes r c s
the process of revising demands e i r c s
hence the e i r c s cannot be eliminated by remvising
we are not talking about a hypotheses regarding he subject
we are talking about the being of the subject - a luminous
being that is present to itself e i r resp

Now listening to me is not self-appropriation one has to find out for oneslef in oneself unless one does that, kne will not get beyond talking about what Lonergan happens to think one has to find out for oneself to stand on one's own feet

all I can do for you is make the gestures and sound the words that indicate and invite

But you may ask, what is the good of it, why should I go go to the trouble of findi g outfor my self

The question is legitimate and the answer is that the self-appropriation of the e i r r c s provides a foundation for human knowing and all human living that all words with a judgment with a complete but and structure journess.

We have been speaking about the subject, but the subject is always a pole opposite another pole, the object

to know the subject as empirically intell antly rationally e in all his human knowing

is to know the law and structure of the propostionate object of human knowing

corresponding to the e i r c s there is the compounded object made up of the experienced, the understood, the affirmed

quidditas sive nature in materia corporali exsistens I 84 7