J. A. Stewart

The Myths of Plato

Translated with Introductory and Other Observations London and New York: Macmillan, 1905. Pp. 532. Index 521-32.

184.1 .S 95 ed. E

Xerox: vii - xii, 4-5, 6-7, 14-15, 20-23, 34-37, 40-45.

Brief quotes:

19

33

Dante (?) on various meanings: In exitu Israel de Aegypto.... litera: the exodus led by Moses allegoria: our redemption by Christ moral: conversion from state of sin to state of grace anagogic: from servitude to corruption in this life to eternal glory

... the essential charm of Poetry... lies in its power of inducing ... that mode of Transcendental Feeling, which is experienced as a solemn sense of the overshadowing presence of "That which was, and is, and ever shall be."

But the dream-consciousness induced in the patient by the imagery and melody of the Poet lasts only for a moment, The effect of even the most sustained Poetry is a succession of occasional lapses into the state of dream-consciousness, each one of which occurs suddenly and lasts but for a moment, in the midst of an otherwise continuous waking consciousness which is concerned, in a matter-of-fact way, with "what the poem is about," and "how the poet manages his theme," and a hundred other things. It is at the moment of waking from one of these lapses into the dreamworld that thesolemn sense of the immediate presence of "That which was, and is, and ever shall be" is experienced -- in the moment when one sees, in the world of wide-awake consciousness, the image, or hears the melogy, which one saw or heard only a moment ago -- or was it not ages ago? -- in the dream-world:--

Un punto solo m' è maggior letargo, Che venticinque secoli alla impresa, Che foi Nettune amminar li embra di ta

Che fe' Nettuno ammirar l'ombra d'Argo, (see xerox)

0

Myth, not argumentative conversation, is rightly chosen by Plate as the vehicle of exposition when he deals with the <u>a priori</u> conditions of conduct and knowledge, whether they be ideals or faculties.

But Soul, Cosmos as the completed system of the Good, and God are not particular objects presented, along /50/ with other particualar objects in sensible experience. This the Scientific

С

34

49

49

0

J A Stewart, Myths

Understanding fails to grasp. When it tries to deal with them --and it is ready enough to make the venture -- it must needs envisage them, <u>more suo</u>, as though they were particular objects which could be brought under its categories in sensible experience. Then the question arises, "Where are they?" And the answer comes sooner or later, "They are nowhere to be found." Thus "science" chills the "sweet hope" in which man lives, by bringing the natural expression of it into discredit.

This, I take it, is Plato's reason for employing Myth, rather than the language and method of "science," when he wishes to set forth the a priori as it expresses itself in Ideals.

The idea of the separate individuality or personality of the Self is not more essential to the moral consciousness than the idea of the separate individuality or personality of God is to the religious consciousness; and in the religious consciousness, at any rate, both of /52/ these ideas are involved -- an individual Self stands in a personal relation to another individual, God.

Anyone who reads the <u>Metaphysics</u>, <u>De Anima</u>, and <u>Ethics</u> in connection will be struck by the way in which the logician gives up, apparently without scruple, the idea of a Personal God, and the correlate idea of the Personal Immortality of the Soul. It may help us to make out what Plato hopes for from presenting

these correlate ideas, in Myth, to the adult readers of his Dialogues, if we recall what he lays down in the second book of the <u>Republic</u> about the religious instruction of young children, on which all mental and moral education, according to him, is to be founded.

The education of children, he tells us, is not to begin with instruction in "facts" or "truths." It is not to begin, as we might say, with the elementary truths of science and facts /54/ of common life as learned in the primer. Young children cannot yet understand what is true in fact. We must begin then with what is false in fact --- with fictions, with stories. Their only faculty is that of being interested in stories. Hence it is all important to have good stories to tell them -- to invent myths with a good tendency. They are to be told what is literally false in order that they may get hold of what is spiritaully true --- the great fundamental truth that God is "beneficent" and "truthful" -- both adjectives applicable to a person; and a finite person for they are to believe that he is the author only of what ' is good.

0

51

50

53

0

54

G

JAStewart, Myths

54 55 In one sentence -- "The Lie in the Soul" -- the spirit of pessimism in conduct and /55/ scepticism in science -- will bring to naught all those who have not believed, in their childhood, that God is a Person, good and true.

[Most led by sense and imagination will retain childhood belief; [for those that do not, represent it in myth]

But why represent it? That the continuity of their lives may be brought home to them -- that they may be led to sympathise with what they were and, sympathising, to realise that what they are now -- is due to what they were. It is because the continuity of life is lost sight of, that relgiious conviction and scientific thought are brought into opposition. The scientific thinker, looking back over his life, is apt to divide it sharply into the time during which he believed what is not true and the time during which he has known the truth. Thus to fail in sympathy with his own childhood, and with the happy condition of the majority of men and women, and with the feelings which may yet return to comfort him when the hour of death draws mm near, betokens, Plato would say, a serious flaw in a man's philosophy of life. The man abstracts the present time from its setting in his whole life. He plucks from its stem the "knowledge of truth," and thinks that it still lives. The "knowledge of truth" Plato would tell us, doesnot come except to the man whose character has been formed and understadning guided, in childhood and youth, by unquestioning faith in the goodness and truthfulness of a Personal God. And this faith he must reverence all his life /56/ through, looking back to his childhood and forward to his death.

... the logical understanding will always keep reminding them that "in truth" (though perhaps not in practice) the doctrines of science and the convictions of the religious consciousness are "incompatible"; and it is here, I take it, ... that Plato hopes for good from Myth, as from some great Ritual at which thinkers may assist and feel that there are mysteries which the scientific understanding cannot fathom.

But the scientific understanding cannot be allowed to criticise its own foundation --- that which all faculties of the living man, the scientific understanding itself included, take for granted ---"that it is good to go on living the human life into which I have been born; and that it is worth while employing my \mathbf{x} faculties

0

57

0

57

O

3

J A Stewart, Myths

- 57 con'd carefully in the conduct of my life for they do not deceive me.
- 59

The scientific understanding... is apt... to flatter itself that it is the measure of all things -- that what to it is inconceivable is impossible. It cannot conceive the Part ruling the Whole: therefore it says that the proposition "the World is ruled by a Personal God" is not true.

4

[[Plato's two answers. First]]

"Life wuld come to naught if we acted <u>as if</u> the scientific understanding were right in denying the existence of a Personal God."...

Plato's other answer goes deeper. It consists in showing that the Whole, or all-embracing Good cannot be grasped scientifically, but must be seen imperfectly in a similitude.... But the Good is not an object presented to knowledge. It is the condition of knowledge.

The conception of "Whole" or "universe" which the logical understanding professes to have, and minipulates in its proof of the non-existence of a Personal God, is not a "conception" at all. The understanding <u>cannot</u> conceive the Universe as finished Whole. Its "whole" is always also a part of something indefinitely greater.

Of course, I do not deny that passages may be found in which the Ideas of Soul, Cosmos, and God are treated by Plato, without Mythology, as having objects to be determined under the scientificm categories of Cause and Substance -- e.g. in <u>Phaedrus</u>, 245 E, and <u>Phaedo</u>, 105 C, we seem to have /74/ serious scientific argument for the immortality of the Soul-- indeed, it would be astonishing if there were no such passages, for the distinction between Category and Idea, as understood by Kant, is not explicit in Plato's mind; but I submit that such passages fade into insignificance by the side of the great Myths.

θ

60

С