Again, the third example is simply a heckler's "What about my tea?", when some stump orator proposes the abolition of all imports as his panaces for the depression. Hecklers and other people bring up instances to confute sweeping generalisations; the instance is the reason and the middle term. Now, if this is the right interpretation of syllogism, it is fairly plain that syllogism is a poor expression of this meaning. Aristotle himself recognized that the second and third figure arguments were pre poor expressions of the meaning and vould reduce them to the first figure. However, of all the moods of syllogism, only Cesare and for the figure itself is not happy. Not only do the numerous int meanings thathave been put upon syllogism attest to this, but in the face of Mill'sobjection, one has to admit that the major premise of the first figuredoes not mean what it says.

10

Reduction to hypothetical form may be accomplished as follows.

First Second Figures: f S is or is not M, 3,48 or 18 not M therefore, Sis or 19 not P. Third Figure: or 9 need not be / If A is or is 3mav be P not

Distribution of terme-is-ignored the subject is ignored.

Barbara and Darii:

Then If 3 is M, then S is P; S is M; so 3 is P. he is mortal. If 30 crates is mortal, he is mortal; 30 crates is a man; therefore, N Celarent, Ferio, Cesare and Festino:

If S is M, then S is not P; S is M; so, S is not P.

If the saints see God, they do not suffer;

But the saints see God; therefore, they do not suffer.

N.B. In syllogism this would be first figure if the major read

"Theever sees God does not suffer."

It would be second figure, it the major were

"Theever sugfers is not seeing God."

Camestres and Baroco:

С

If 5 is not N, then 5 is not P; 3 is not N; therefore, 3 is not P.

0

If none of these boys are languid, none of them are tubercular;

But none of them are languid;

Therefore none of them are tubercular.

G